

NO. 23669

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

STATE OF HAWAI'I, Petitioner/Plaintiff-Appellee

vs.

DANIEL E. K. SHINYAMA, Respondent/Defendant-Appellant

CERTIORARI TO THE INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS
(CR. NO. 99-0457(2))

ORDER

(By: Ramil, J.)

Upon consideration of Respondent/Defendant-Appellant Daniel E. K. Shinyama's motion for order to strike the application for writ of certiorari filed by Petitioner/Plaintiff-Appellee State of Hawai'i, the papers in support, and the records and files herein, it appears that the application for a writ of certiorari filed by the State of Hawai'i does not comply with the requirements set forth in Rules 32(a) and 32(b) of the Hawai'i Rules of Appellate Procedure (HRAP). Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Arleen Y. Watanabe, Esq., attorney for Petitioner/Plaintiff-Appellee, shall, within 10 days after the date of this order, show cause as to why she should not be sanctioned for failing to comply with HRAP Rules 32(a) and 32(b). Failure to respond to this order may result in sanctions.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to strike the application for writ of certiorari is denied.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, February 14, 2002.

Associate Justice

Deborah L. Kim, Deputy
Public Defender, on
the motion