## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

STATE OF HAWAI'I, Plaintiff-Appellee

VS.

ROBERT LEE TETU, Defendant-Appellant

APPEAL FROM THE FIRST CIRCUIT COURT (CR. NO. 02-1-1456)

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT-APPELLANT

ROBERT TETU'S MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL

(By: Duffy, J. for the court¹)

Upon consideration of the motion to dismiss the appeal of Defendant-Appellant Robert Tetu filed by court-appointed counsel Jacob Merrill, the papers in support, and the records and files herein, it appears that: (1) on August 11, 2003, Defendant-Appellant Robert Tetu filed a notice of appeal in this case; (2) counsel now moves for dismissal because he believes Tetu no longer wishes to pursue the appeal; (3) counsel lists

Cr. No. 02-1-1091 as the underlying criminal number for this appeal, but that it not the criminal case that is the subject of this appeal; (4) this appeal stems from a conviction and sentence entered in Cr. No. 02-1-1456; (5) there is no declaration of Tetu in support of dismissal and counsel's declaration that he made one phone call to locate Tetu after Tetu missed a meeting does

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Considered by: Moon, C.J., Levinson, Nakayama, Acoba, and Duffy, JJ.

not demonstrate that he made a diligent effort to locate Tetu to determine whether Tetu wants to pursue this appeal. Therefore,

appeal is denied. This denial is without prejudice to a subsequent motion that contains the correct caption and criminal number, and includes an affidavit or declaration of Tetu that complies with HRAP Rule 42(c) or, if Tetu cannot be located, a declaration of counsel that indicates counsel made a diligent effort to locate Tetu.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, April 27, 2004.

Jacob M. Merrill for defendant-appellant on the motion

FOR THE COURT:

Associate Justice