

NO. 28407

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

FRANCIS ANTHONY GRANDINETTI, II, Petitioner,

vs.

STANTON C. OSHIRO and CIRCUIT COURT OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT,
Respondents.

C. M. HIRAYANO
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
STATE OF HAWAII

2007 MAR 21 PM 2:28

FILED

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING
(CR. NO. 93-141)

ORDER

(By: Moon, C.J., Levinson, Nakayama, Acoba, and Duffy, JJ.)

Upon consideration of petitioner Francis Grandinetti, II's "application for an appellate injunction and supervisory mandamus remedy," which is deemed a petition for a writ of mandamus and the papers in support, it appears that petitioner fails to demonstrate a clear and indisputable right to the relief requested and petitioner is not entitled to a writ of mandamus. See Kema v. Gaddis, 91 Hawai'i 200, 204-205, 982 P.2d 334, 338-339 (1999) (A writ of mandamus is an extraordinary remedy that will not issue unless the petitioner demonstrates a clear and indisputable right to relief and a lack of alternative means to redress adequately the alleged wrong or obtain the requested action.). Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the petition for a writ of mandamus is denied.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, March 21, 2007.

Francis Grandinetti, II,
pro se, on the petition





