

NO. 28494

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL,
Petitioner,

vs.

J.E. MAYLA BLAKLEY,
Respondent.

E.M. RIMANDO
CLERK, APPELLATE COURTS
STATE OF HAWAII

2007 AUG 14 AM 7:57

FILED

(ORIGINAL PROCEEDING)

(ODC NOS. 02-026-7224, 05-127-8279, 06-005-8345, 06-066-8406)

ORDER OF DISBARMENT

(By: Moon, C.J., Levinson, Nakayama, Acoba, and Duffy, JJ.)

Upon consideration of the Disciplinary Board's Report and Recommendation for the Suspension of J. E. Mayla Blakley and Respondent Blakley's lack of objection thereto as exhibited by her failure to file an answer to the Petition as permitted by Rule 2.7(c) of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the State of Hawaii (RSCH) or to request briefing as permitted by RSCH Rule 2.7(d), it appears that Respondent Blakley violated RSCH Rules 1.1, 1.2(a), 1.3, 1.4(a), 1.4(b), 1.15(a)(1), 1.15(b), 1.15(c), 1.15(d), 1.15(g)(7), 1.15(h), 1.16(a)(2), 1.16(d), 3.2, 3.4(e), 8.1(b), 8.4(a), 8.4(c), and 8.4(d) of the Hawaii Rules of Professional Conduct. It further appears that Respondent Blakley has departed from the State of Hawaii, and making discipline effective thirty (30) days after entry of this order, see RSCH Rule 2.16(c), would be pointless. See Office of Disciplinary Counsel v. DeMello, 61 Haw. 223, 225, 601 P.2d 1087, 1088 (1979).

Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Disciplinary Board's Report and Recommendation is rejected, and Respondent J. E. Mayla Blakley is disbarred from the practice of law in this jurisdiction, effective upon entry of this order. See Office of Disciplinary Counsel v. Lau, 85 Hawai'i 212, 215, 941 P.2d 295, 298 (1997) ("misappropriation of client funds by the client's attorney warrants disbarment absent strong mitigating circumstances").

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, as conditions for reinstatement,

1. Respondent Blakley shall pay restitution in the amount of \$9,440.80 to Wayne Brumfield.
2. Respondent Blakley shall pay any costs of these proceedings as approved upon timely submission of a bill of costs, and shall comply with the requirements of RSCH Rules 2.16 and 2.17.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, August 14, 2007.

Carole R. Richelieu,
chief disciplinary counsel
for petitioner

J.E. Mayla Blakley,
respondent pro se


Steven H. Levinson
Honolulu, Hawaii

James E. Duffy, Jr.